<b>S</b> JS 44 (Rev. 12/07)	case Ziri Pasa Civil C	OVER SHEET 106/2	7/14 1 20 1 1 1 1 3 9 8 4	
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the by local rules of court. This form the civil docket sheet. (SEE INS.			f pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as ired for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of	provide initiatir
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS		
Christiana Mall, LI	C	David M. Shafkov	vitz Esquire et al	
Cii istialia iviari, El	.c	David IVI. Shalkov	vitz, Esquite, et al.	
(b) County of Residence of	First Listed Plaintiff New Castle	County of Residence of	f First Listed Defendant Montgomery	
•	EPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)	
		•	CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF TH	E
		LANDI	NVOLVED.	
Gavin P. Lentz, Esquire	ddress, and Telephone Number) and Vincent van Laar, Esquire, Bochetto & Len adelphia, PA 19102, (215) 735-3900	Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for	r Plaintif
☐ 1 U.S. Government	□ 3 Federal Question	(For Diversity Cases Only)	and One Box for Defende	,
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State		DEF 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	2	<b>5</b>
	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a	3 3 Foreign Nation 5 6	<b>6</b>
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Foreign Country		
	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	ES
120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property   1	Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury  CIMERIGHTS  441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 365 Property Damage Product Liability 370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 385 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 385 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 386 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 386 Property Damage Product Liability 386 Property Damage Product Liability 386 Property Damage Product Liability 380 Other Personal	620 Other Food & Drug     625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881     630 Liquor Laws     640 R.R. & Truck     650 Airline Regs.     660 Occupational     Safety/Health     690 Other     Tabor Standards     Act     720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting     & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act     790 Other Labor Litigation     791 Empl. Ret. Inc.     Security Act     1MMIGRATION     463 Habeas Corpus -     Alien Detainee     465 Other Immigration	decorate   decorate	ged and ons  ditties/ ge ttions ation Act atters Act ation
V. ORIGIN (Place an ") 1 Original Proceeding  VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  VIII. RELATED CASE(S	Court Appellate Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and 28 U.S.C. § 1391  Brief description of cause: Legal Malpractice Negligence  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	Reopened specific re filing (Do not cite jurisdictiona		1
IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE	TORNEY OF RECORD	DOCKET NUMBER	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	Meh	as feife		

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

AMOUNT

RECEIPT#

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

  Example:

  U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
  Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# Case 2:14-cv-03984 **PRITED STATES PRISTRIPLE COURT**/14 Page 3 of 22

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PE of the case for the purpose of assignment			be used by co	unsel to indicate the category
Address of Plaintiff: 715 Christiana Ma	ll, Newark, Delaware 1	9702		
Address of Defendant: 730 East Elm Stre	et, Conshohocken, PA	19428		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:_		Eastern District	of Pennsylvan	ia
		(Use Reverse Side	For Additional	Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernm or more of its stock?	ental corporate party w	rith any parent corporation	and any public	ly held corporation owning 10
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statem Yes□ Now		e with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	)	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	n possibilities?		Yes□	Nox
Case Number:	_ Judge	Da	te Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is a	nswered to any of the f	following questions:		
1. Is this case related to property included in	n an earlier numbered s	uit pending or within one	year previously Yes□	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of a terminated action in this court?	fact or grow out of the	same transaction as a prior		within one year previously
3. Does this case involve the validity or infr previously terminated action in this court?	ingement of a patent al	ready in suit or any earlier	numbered case Yes□	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas	s corpus, social security	appeal, or pro se civil rig	hts case filed by Yes□	
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ON	LY)			
A. Federal Question Cases:			ity Jurisdiction	
1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract,	and All Other Contrac		l	nd Other Contracts
2. □ FELA			ane Personal In	
<ol> <li>Jones Act-Personal Injury</li> <li>Antitrust</li> </ol>			ult, Defamation ne Personal Inji	
5. □ Patent			r Vehicle Perso	
6. □ Labor-Management Relations				y (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights			ucts Liability	y (1 rouse specify)
8.   Habeas Corpus			ucts Liability —	- Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases			ther Diversity C	
<ul><li>10. □ Social Security Review Cases</li><li>11. □ All other Federal Question Cases</li></ul>		(Ple	ase specify) <u>Leg</u>	gal Malpractice Negligence
(Please specify)	ADDITDATIO	N CERTIFICATION		
		ropriate Category)		
I, Gavin P. Lentz, Esquire		counsel of record do hereb		
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Sec			l belief, the dan	ages recoverable in this civil
action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 e		i costs;	_	
□ Relief other than monetary damages is	o sought.	201/1/1/		
DATE: <u>6/26/14</u>		WY/forfs	<del>7</del>	53609
NOTE: A trial de nov	Attorney-at-Law o will be a trial by jury	only if there has been con		torney I.D.# .R.C.P. 38
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within				
action in this court except as noted above.			one je	The second secon
6/20/14	1/1	1/1//		2600
DATE:	Atterney-at-Law	1/1/40		3609 orney I.D.#
CTT (00 (((00)	•			•

# Case 2:14-cv-03984-**DRITED SCAPE 31:3TRIPED COG/R7/1**4 Page 4 of 22

0.000 = 1.2 1.01.000	ONITED SPATES DISTR	der court	7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PEN of the case for the purpose of assignment to		TION FORM to	be used by counsel to indicate the category
Address of Plaintiff: 715 Christiana Mall	, Newark, Delaware 19702		
Address of Defendant: 730 East Elm Street	, Conshohocken, PA 19428		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:		Factory Dietric	of Denneylyania
Trace of Accident, incident of Transaction			e For Additional Space)
	(5		To Traditional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernment or more of its stock?	ntal corporate party with any pa	rent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statemer Yes November 1	nt Form in accordance with Fed	R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation partial RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	possibilities?		Yes□ No
Case Number:	Judge	Da	ate Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is an	swered to any of the following of	questions:	
1. Is this ease related to property included in	an earlier numbered suit pendin	g or within one	year previously terminated action in this court
2. Does this case involve the same issue of farterminated action in this court?	ct or grow out of the same trans	action as a prior	Yes□ Nove suit pending or within one year previously Yes□ Nove
3. Does this case involve the validity or infrin previously terminated action in this court?	gement of a patent already in su	it or any earlier	numbered case pending or within one year Yes□ Nov
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas of	corpus, social security appeal, or	pro se civil rig	hts case filed by the same individual? Yes□ Not
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY	7)		
A. Federal Question Cases:	1)	D Divers	ity Jurisdiction Cases:
Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, a	nd All Other Contracts		ance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	nd An Onici Condacis		ane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury			ult, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust			ne Personal Injury
5. □ Patent			or Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations			r Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights			ucts Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus			ucts Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases		9. <b>X</b> All o	ther Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases			ase specify) Legal Malpractice Negligence
<ol> <li>□ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)</li> </ol>	:		
	ARBITRATION CERTII	FICATION	
•	(Check appropriate Ca	tegory)	•
I, Gavin P. Lentz, Esquire		record do hereb	
		knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil
action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exc Relief other than monetary damages is s			7
DATE: 6/26/14	11/11/	1/6/1	53609
	Attorney-at-Law	The state of the s	Attorney I.D.#
	will be a trial by jury only if the	re has been con	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within ca action in this court except as noted above.	ase is not related to any case n	ow pending or	within one year previously terminated
- 1. /ac/11/	f Llen		
DATE: 6/26/19		18/2	53609 Attorney I.D.#
1	\Learningv=al=Law / /	/	AWINEV I.D.#

APPENDIX I

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

# CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Christiana Mall, LLC		: CIVIL A	ACTION	
v.		: :		
David M. Shafkowitz, Es	squire, et al.	: : NO. :		
In accordance with the Civplaintiff shall complete a case the complaint and serve a coof this form.) In the event the that defendant shall, with its all other parties, a case manabelieves the case should be	se Management Track opy on all defendant nat a defendant does s first appearance, su agement track design	k Designation Form in s. (See § 1:03 of the not agree with the pla bmit to the clerk of co	all civil cases at the time of plan set forth on the revers aintiff regarding said design ourt and serve on the plainti	filing e side ation, ff and
SELECT ONE OF THE F	FOLLOWING CAS	SE MANAGEMENT	TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U	.S.C. §2241 through	§2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de			ecretary of Health	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated	d for arbitration unde	r Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ring claims for perso	nal injury or property	damage from	( )
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse s management cases.)	complex and that no	ed special or intense	management by	( )
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fa	ll into any one of the	other tracks.	A
6/26/14 Date	Gavin P. Lentz Attorney-at-		Attorney for Plaintiffs	-
(215) 735-3900 Telephone	(215) 735-2455 FAX Number		tz@bochettoandlentz.com E-Mail Address	

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHRISTIANA MALL, LLC

715 Christiana Mall

Newark, Delaware 19702

**Plaintiff** 

VS.

Civil Case No.

DAVID M. SHAFKOWITZ, ESQUIRE

730 East Elm Street

Conshohocken, Pennsylvania 19428

And

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

LAW OFFICES OF DAVID M. SHAFKOWITZ

730 East Elm Street

Conshohocken, Pennsylvania 19428

Defendants

#### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

## (PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE/ LEGAL MALPRACTICE)

AND NOW comes Plaintiff, Christiana Mall, LLC ("Plaintiff" and/or "Christiana Mall") by and through the undersigned counsel, Bochetto & Lentz, P.C. ("B&L"), and avers the following in support of the Complaint against all Defendants:

#### I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This matter is properly filed in this District as there is complete diversity between Plaintiff and all Defendants. The amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$150,000, exclusive of interest, fees and costs. Jurisdiction is asserted pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as all Defendants are citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and as the members of Plaintiff Christiana

Mall, LLC (a Delaware Company) are residents or citizens of states other than the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as a substantial number of acts giving rise to this claim occurred in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

#### II. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, Christiana Mall, LLC ("Plaintiff" and/or "Christiana Mall") is a Delaware limited liability company (hereinafter "Christiana Mall") with a principal place of business located at 715 Christiana Mall, Newark, Delaware 19702. All members of Christiana Mall, LLC are residents or citizens of States other than the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 4. Defendant, David M. Shafkowitz, Esquire (hereinafter "Attorney Shafkowitz") is a licensed Pennsylvania lawyer with a principal place of business located at 730 E. Elm Street, Conshohocken, PA 19428. Attorney Shafkowitz is a citizen and resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Plaintiff is asserting a professional legal malpractice action against this Defendant. (See Certificate of Merit attached hereto as Exhibit "A.")
- 5. Defendant, Law Offices of David M. Shafkowitz (hereinafter "Law Firm") is a Pennsylvania law firm with a principal place of business located at 730 E. Elm Street, Conshohocken, PA 18954. Upon information and belief, Attorney Shafkowitz is an employee of the Law Firm. Plaintiff is asserting a professional legal malpractice action against this Defendant based on both direct and vicarious liability. (See Certificate of Merit attached hereto as Exhibit "A.")

# III. RELEVANT FACTUAL BACKGROUND

6. On or about December 21, 2011, Plaintiff/Landlord Christiana Mall, LLC as the owner of Christiana Mall ("the Mall") and tenant MRF Atlantic, LLC a/k/a Mr. Fruz LLC / t/a/

Yogen Fruz ("MRF") entered into a binding lease A\agreement to operate a yogurt business located at 132 Christiana Mall, Suite 1135, Newark, Delaware 19702 ("the Lease").

- 7. In furtherance of its business venture, MRF subsequently entered into a construction agreement with an outside contractor, Emory Hill and Company ("Emory") for work to be performed at the Yogen Fruz location that is the subject of the Lease.
- 8. On October 21, 2012, Emory commenced a law suit asserting *inter alia* a mechanic's lien in the amount of \$187,984.84 against the tenant MRF for the non-payment of labor and materials performed in connection with the construction of the store Yogen Fruz ("the Emory Complaint").
- 9. In the Emory Complaint, Emory also asserted a Mechanics Lien claim against Plaintiff Christiana Mall as the owner of the premises leased by MRF and renovated by Emory.
- 10. On November 7, 2012, the Emory Complaint was served on both MRF and Plaintiff Christiana Mall.
- 11. In response to the Emory Complaint, Plaintiff Christiana Mall promptly sent to its tenant MRF a letter pursuant to the terms of the Lease and demanded MRF defend and indemnify Christiana Mall.
- 12. Specifically, on November 9, 2012, Christiana Mall notified tenant MRF of the Emory Complaint and tendered its defense and request for indemnification to MRF pursuant to Lease Articles 14 and 20. (*See* November 9, 2012 Letter, attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated by reference.)
- 13. On or around November 19, 2012, tenant MRF *accepted* the complete defense and indemnification of Christiana Mall in the Emory Complaint. (*See* Acceptance signature by MRF, attached hereto as Exhibit "C.")

- 14. Thereafter, tenant MRF engaged Attorney Shafkowitz and his law firm to represent the interests of both MRF and Christiana Mall, pursuant to Lease Articles 14 and 20 of and pursuant to the tender letter signed by MRF.
- 15. At all times material hereto, Attorney Shafkowitz assured Christiana Mall that he was handling the Emory Complaint and would protect Plaintiff's interests in the legal proceedings.
- 16. At all times material hereto, Attorney Shafkowitz assured Christiana Mall representatives that all required extensions of time had been obtained and that he was in the process of resolving the Emory Complaint on behalf of both MRF and Christiana Mall.
  - 17. Christiana Mall reasonably and justifiably relied on Defendant's representations.
- 18. As early as of November 15, 2012, Attorney Shafkowitz, on behalf of MRF and Christiana Mall, had commenced settlement discussions with Emory's counsel regarding the Emory Complaint.
- 19. Attorney Shafkowitz further advised Christiana Mall that he would notify them if the settlement discussions were unproductive.
- 20. On or about December 11, 2012, counsel for Emory specifically advised Attorney Shafkowitz that an Answer would be due on December 31, 2012 or he would enter a default against Christiana Mall.
- 21. Emory further advised Attorney Shafkowitz to notify Christiana Mall of its intentions to file a default. (See attached email from Emory, Exhibit "D.")
- 22. Attorney Shafkowitz negligently failed to advise Christiana Mall of this written notice and failed to timely file an Answer on behalf of MRF and Christiana Mall.

- 23. Although Attorney Shafkowitz was in contact with Christiana Mall on December 11, 2012 the same day he was given written notice of default he never advised Christiana Mall he had received a default notice.
- 24. Attorney Shafkowitz further failed to notify Plaintiff Christiana Mall that an Answer to the Emory Complaint would be due on December 31, 2012.
- 25. Attorney Shafkowitz also failed to advise Plaintiff Christiana Mall that he would not file an Answer on behalf of all the Defendants.
- As a direct result of Attorney Shafkowitz's negligent conduct, Plaintiff Christiana Mall sustained the following damages: entry of judgment in the amount of \$187,984.84 in principal, \$8,499.23 in post judgment interest, \$69,000 in attorney's fees and \$1,114.58 in costs, for a total of \$266,598.65.

#### COUNT I

# (LEGAL MALPRACTICE/PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE) CHRISTIANA MALL v. LAW FIRM AND ATTORNEY SHAFKOWITZ

- 27. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all previous paragraphs as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 28. At all times material hereto, an attorney-client relationship both expressed and implied existed between Christiana Mall and Attorney Shafkowitz.
- 29. At all times material hereto, Attorney Shafkowitz was an employee of the Law Firm.
- 30. At the time Attorney Shafkowitz agreed to represent MRF and Christiana Mall pursuant to the signed acceptance of indemnity, he was duty bound to protect both MRF and Christiana Mall from the threatened default judgment.

- 31. Attorney Shafkowitz however failed to practice within the standard of care and caused harm to Plaintiff.
- 32. Attorney Shafkowitz further was negligent in assuring Christiana Mall orally and in writing that he was protecting its interests in the Emory Complaint.
- 33. Despite these assurances which the Mall reasonably relied on, Attorney Shafkowitz completely ignored the deadlines which resulted in a default judgment.
- 34. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of care in handling the defense of the Emory Complaint.
- 35. Defendants breached said duty when they failed to timely file an Answer and/or obtain a proper extension to file an Answer despite that Defendants were advised in writing that counsel in the Emory case intended to take a default against the Mall.
- 36. Defendants negligently failed to execute their basic professional obligations towards Plaintiff causing a default judgment to be entered against Plaintiff for the Emory Complaint.
- 37. As a direct result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant economic damages and attorney's fees.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' professional negligence, Plaintiff sustained substantial damages as set forth herein and to be presented at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment against

Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of \$150,000 to fully compensate

Plaintiff, including attorney's fees and for such further relief this Court deems equitable and just.

#### COUNT II

#### (NEGLIGENT MISPRESENTATION)

### (RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS, SECTION 552)

### CHRISTIANA MALL v. ATTORNEY SHAFKOWITZ

- 39. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all previous paragraphs as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 40. At all times material hereto, Attorney Shafkowitz owed a duty to Plaintiff after he represented he would handle the Emory Complaint on behalf of MRF and Christiana Mall.
- 41. At all times material hereto, Attorney Shafkowitz acted in the course of his profession as a licensed attorney.
- 42. As set forth above, Attorney Shafkowitz represented he would represent the Christiana Mall in the Mechanics Lien Claim.
- 43. Attorney Shafkowitz breached said duty of care when he misrepresented to Plaintiff that he had obtained an extension to file an Answer to the Emory Complaint and was in the process of settling the claim.
- 44. The Christiana Mall reasonably and justifiably relied on Attorney Shafkowitz's material and negligent representations to its detriment.
- 45. Attorney Shafkowitz failed to advise the Christiana Mall he had received a written notice of default.
- 46. At all times material hereto, Attorney Shafkowitz should have known that his representations were false and/or misleading and that they would be relied on by Christiana Mall.
- 47. It was foreseeable that Plaintiff would rely on Attorney Shafkowitz's representations to its detriment.

- 48. Plaintiff Christiana Mall justifiably relied on Attorney Shafkowitz's representations.
- 49. As a direct result of Attorney Shafkowitz's misrepresentations, Plaintiff sustained pecuniary and economic harm as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to enter judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of \$150,000 to fully compensate Plaintiff, and for such further relief this Court deems equitable and just.

## **COUNT III**

## (NEGLIGENT SUPERVISION)

## CHRISTIANA MALL v. LAW FIRM

- 50. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all previous paragraphs as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 51. At all times material hereto, Law Firm failed to supervise its attorney and staff, including Attorney Shafkowitz, to assure that an Answer to the Emory Complaint on behalf of the Plaintiff would be timely filed.
- 52. At no time did Law Firm take appropriate actions necessary to protect Plaintiff's interests.
- 53. At all times materials hereto, Attorney Shafkowitz and his staff were employees and/or agents of the Law Firm who acted negligently.
- 54. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligent supervision, Plaintiff suffered the losses set forth above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to enter judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of \$150,000 to fully compensate Plaintiff, and for such further relief this Court deems equitable and just.

## **BOCHETTO & LENT Z, P.C.**

Date: June 25, 2014

BY:

Gavin P. Lentz, Esquire

Vincent van Laar, Esquire

Attorney I.D. Nos. 53609, 85672

1524 Locust Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102

(215) 735-3900

(215) 735-2455 fax

glentz@bochettoandlentz.com

vvanlaar@bochettoandlentz.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

# Exhibit "A"

## **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

## Certificate of Merit as to Defendant Attorney David Shafkowitz

I certify that that there exists a reasonable probability that the care, skill and knowledge exercised and/or exhibited by Attorney David Shafkowitz in the practice and/or work that is the subject of the Complaint, *i.e* failing to timely file an Answer, fell outside the acceptable legal professional standards and that such conduct was a cause in bringing about the damages incurred by the Plaintiff.

Date:  $\frac{1/30/14}{}$ 

# Exhibit "B"



Frank Francone
Development Manager-Legal
General Growth Properties
110 North Wacker Drive 1-16
Chicago, IL 60606
frank.francone@ggp.com
312-960-6476 (P)
312-206-4568 (C)
312-994-6691 (F)

November 9, 2012

<u>Via UPS Delivery</u>
MRF Atlantic, LLC
500 Worthington Mill Road
Richboro, PA 18954

Attn: General Counsel

Re: Notice and Complaint filed by Emory Hill and Company

Case Number: N12L-10-021 JRJ

Tenant: MRF Atlantic LLC, a/k/a Mr. Fruz LLC / Trade Name "Yogen Fruz"

Mall: Christiana Mall LLC

Dear Sir, Madam.

Enclosed please find a copy of the above referenced Notice and Complaint (the "Complaint") that was filed with the New Castle County Superior Court, Delaware and served on Christiana Mall LLC. The Complaint is associated with work that was done at the Christiana Mall LLC located in Newark, Delaware for work contracted by and completed for MRF Atlantic LLC, a/k/a Mr. Fruz LLC ("MrFruz"). Therefore, Pursuant to Article 14 of our Lease with MrFruz we request that MrFruz defend and indemnify Christiana Mall LLC from any and all liabilities and damages including costs and attorney fees incurred in this complaint/suit.

If MrFruz has resolved this matter please forward a <u>recorded</u> copy of the Release of Lien / Dismissal of Compliant/Suit/Lis Pendens to my attention.

If your failure respond to this request requires us to retain counsel and assume our own defense in this Complaint/Suit, MrFruz may be placed in default of its lease and reimbursement of our defense costs. Should you wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Frank Francone

CC Kristen N. Pate, Esq.

# Exhibit "C"

Please provide an authorized agent's signature below as confirmation of Tenant's agreement to accept this tender of defense and demand for indemnification and return it to me within five (5) days of receipt of this letter.

Tenant:

MRF Atlantic LLC, a/k/a Mr. Fruz LLC / Trade Name "Yogen Fruz"

Mall:

Christiana Mall LLC

Claimant:

**Emory Hill and Company** 

Name

Title

Date

# Exhibit "D"

#### Scott T. Earle

From:

Scott T. Earle <searle@cohenseglias.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, December 11, 2012 1:21 PM

To:

'Dave Shafkowitz'

Subject:

RE: Emory Hill - MR Fruzz [CSPGF-Active.FID366322]

Dave,

Good afternoon. I reviewed the charges that MrFruz LLC disputes with Emory Hill. All of the charges relate to onsite work related to the project and were authorized under Article B.2.1 of the subcontract. Therefore, in our view, Mr. Fruz position below is untenable and is not in good faith. In any event, as Mr. Fruz is not authorized to conduct business in Delaware, I served the Delaware Secretary of State, which is permitted under Delaware law. The Kent County sheriff office informed me that service was made upon Mr. Fruz or 12/10/2012. Therefore, Mr. Fruz has up and including December 31 to enswer the Complaint and Statement of Mechanics Lien. The Christina Mall LLC, the owner, has been served and has not yet answered the Complaint and Statement of Mechanics Lien and the time period for the owner to answer is now past due. The owner has never requested an extension of time to answer. Please advise through your client that the owner is required to answer the complaint, otherwise, default judgment will be taken against the owner.

Kind Regards,

Scott

From: Dave Shafkowitz [mailto:dms@shafkowitzlaw.com]

Sent: Friday, November 16, 2012 10:04 AM

To: Scott T. Earle Cc: Francone, Frank

Subject: Emory Hill - MR Fruzz

Scott - Attached is a spreadsheet showing the amounts already paid by my client with regard to work allegedly done by Emory Hill, the discrepancies from the budget amount as compared to the amounts allegedly incurred or approved and the amounts in dispute. As you will see approximately \$90,000 have already been paid to sub contractors, including \$20,000 to your client, of which there was no explanation of how it was applied.

The major discrepancies involve charges for "general conditions" which were not part of the agreement, charge for supervision which was not part of the agreement, charge for interest, of which there is no proof any of it was incurred or paid and a blatant overcharge from your client regarding frame and trim.

Please review with your client and advise on how you would like to proceed.

In the meantime, I want to confirm that you agreed to provide the appropriate extension of time to respond to the filing in this case and confirm your representation that all documents that have been filed in this matter have been provided to my office. If for some reason my understanding is incorrect in any way, please advise immediately.

Thanks.